List of current developments affecting or expected to affect Scheme Administration - Nov 2019

SCHEME ADVISORY BOARD [SAB]	
**New Item** CMI Data Request	GAD have been approached by the actuarial profession's Continuous Mortality Investigation (CMI) to request LGPS (E&W) mortality data. A letter from CMI to GAD setting out their proposal (and information for pension schemes) is on the Board website, see link <a href="here">here</a> .
	The Board was advised that the committee had endorsed the request from Continuous Mortality Investigation (CMI) for GAD to release local mortality data in exchange for a benchmarking facility to administering authorities.
Local Pension Boards	Latest Update:- SAB Meeting 5 November 2019:- The Local Pension Board survey is currently being prepared by an external provider and online publication is expected before Christmas. The survey will run for two months.  Previous Updates:-
	SAB Meeting 8 July 2019:- The Board agreed that members should be given until the end of July to comment on the draft survey prepared by the Investment, Governance and Engagement committee. The Secretariat will then prepare the survey for publication in August with a deadline of completion by the end of November. This will allow provisional findings to be reported to the Board when it next meets on the 4th November. The Secretariat was also tasked to open discussions with stakeholders on the best way of distributing the survey to ensure a good response.
	<b>SAB Meeting 8 Apr 2019:-</b> Board members were advised that a working draft of the new local pension board survey was near to completion. It was agreed that delegated authority should be given to the Chair of the Investment committee to agree the final draft and publication arrangements to ensure that the survey was undertaken outside of the main Summer holiday break.
	<b>SAB Meeting 16 Jan 2019:-</b> Following concerns raised at the Investment committee about the effectiveness of some local pension boards, the Board agreed that the Secretariat should prepare a draft survey to build on the one undertaken in 2017. The draft will be considered by the Board when it next meets in April and, subject to their agreement, will be undertaken in the early Summer.

## LGPS SAB Cost Management Process / McCloud Judgment

#### **Latest Updates:-**

## SAB Meeting 5 November 2019:-

The Board Secretary updated members on the McCloud legal case. He explained that the Employment Tribunal has started to meet on the Judges and Firefighters' schemes but that government had yet to comment on how remedies will be applied to the other public service pension schemes. Discussions with GAD on potential costs will continue. Any resolution this financial year is unlikely. The Board agreed the committee's recommendation that a small working group should be established to work with MHCLG, GAD and other scheme stakeholders to develop proposals and costing for the scheme's remedy arrangement

**On 17 October 2019** GAD issued a formal request for valuation data as at 31 March 2019 as part of the cost management process. APF data was submitted to GAD before the deadline of 18 November 2019.

**On 2 October 2019** the LGA contacted administering authorities with a request for data from MHCLG and HM Treasury. They have requested the information to help with policy planning in relation to McCloud and wider pension tax issues affecting the public sector. APF supplied the necessary data before the deadline of 8 November 2019.

## **Previous Updates:-**

On 15 July 2019 the Chief Secretary to the Treasury announced in a written statement that 'the government believes that the difference in treatment will need to be remedied across all those schemes. This includes schemes for the NHS, civil service, local government, teachers, police, armed forces, judiciary and fire and rescue workers.

At the SAB Meeting 8 July 2019 the Board was advised that a meeting between MHCLG, external auditors and GAD would take place shortly to discuss the implications of the Supreme Court's decision to refuse the government's application to appeal the McCloud judgement and, in particular, the impact this is having for signing off local authority accounts. Although there is now certainly that the McCloud judgement stands and that the case will now go back to the Employment Tribunal for remedy, there was clear support for the Board to issue a message to scheme stakeholders clarifying the uncertainties that remain. The Board agreed that the Secretariat should prepare a draft statement for consideration and approval of the Chair.

On 27 June 2019 the Supreme Court denied the Government's request for an appeal in the McCloud and Sargeant case. The case concerns the transitional protections provided to older members of the judges and firefighter pension schemes when the schemes were reformed in 2015, as part of the public sector pension scheme changes. On 20 December 2018 the Court of Appeal found that these protections were unlawful on the grounds of age discrimination and could not be justified. The Supreme Court ruling of 27 June 2019 means that the Court of Appeal's decision will be upheld and the case will be returned to an employment tribunal for a detailed decision. The cost management page of the English and

Welsh SAB website, link here, contains background information on the case, including a Q&A which has been updated to take into account the Supreme Court ruling.

On 14 May 2019 central guidance was issued from the SAB on how the McCloud/Cost Management should be taken account in the 2019 valuation, this can be found here. Also CIPFA provided a separate note on accounting for McCloud/Cost Management which can be found here.

On 8 April 2019, at the SAB meeting, the Board was advised that the Civil Service Pension Scheme's Advisory Board had recently written to their Minister setting out their agreed package to recover the cap breach of 5.4% and asking that the process, despite being paused, should be allowed to proceed as far as is possible. Board members were further advised that similar actions were being taken by the advisory boards of the other public service pension schemes and that it was open to them to agree to do likewise for the LGPS. The Board agreed that a letter in these terms should be drafted by the Secretariat for members to consider and approve. The letter will invite the Minister to open discussions with the Board about any alternative cost management package and seek his agreement that the Board must be part of any future discussions surrounding the remedy package should the McCloud judgement stand.

Around 70 responses were received to the previous question posed to Administering Authorities, with regards to the 2019 valuation (see previous update), with the significant majority expressing a preference to receive central guidance, following which board published an advice note covering the implications of McCloud/Cost Cap in relation to the 2019 fund valuations on 14 May 2019.

On 16 Jan 2019 at the SAB Meeting the Board was advised that since it had last met, there had been ongoing discussions with MHCLG and other interested parties regarding the package of scheme improvements formulated by the technical group commissioned by the Board and subsequently agreed by the Board itself to bring the scheme's costs of 19.0% back to the target cost of 19.5%. It was confirmed that a Q&A document to assist administering authorities in explaining the cost cap arrangement and its implications to scheme employers and others would be produced. Board members expressed concern that in the absence of any agreement by government on the Board's agreed package that the deadline of 1st April 2019 for regulatory changes to be introduced was becoming increasingly challenging.

On 30 Jan 2019 the Government announced a pause in the cost cap process due to uncertainty caused by a court ruling on elements of the 2014/15 scheme reforms. The Written Ministerial Statement setting out the reason for the pause can be found here, together with a summary of and the full Court of Appeal ruling in the case of The Lord Chancellor and Secretary of State for Justice and another v McCloud and Mostyn and others [2018] and Sargeant v London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority and others [2018]. Also listed is a letter from MHCLG confirming that the WMS applies equally to the LGPS as to the unfunded public service schemes.

On 7th February the SAB received confirmation that the cost cap pause and the uncertainty caused by the McCloud case announced in the Written Ministerial Statement applies equally to the LGPS as to the unfunded public service pension schemes. Given that confirmation the SAB considered it had no option but to pause its own cost management process pending the outcome of McCloud. As a result there are currently no changes to benefits planned in respect of the cost cap. This situation will be reviewed once McCloud is resolved which is not expected for some months.

**On 14th February** the SAB published a Q&A on the McCloud case and it's potential impact on cost cap for administering authorities, the link can be found here. There was an action for administering authorities to respond to the below question regarding the 2019 valuations:-

Question for LGPS administering authorities

With regards to the 2019 valuations would you prefer:-

A) To receive guidance from the SAB designed to promote a consistency of approach on how McCloud and/or cost management should be taken account of as part of the 2019 triennial valuation exercise. Such guidance would take the form that;

If there is no finalised outcome on McCloud/Cost cap (including a commitment by government to detailed benefit changes) by 31st August 2019 then the scheme benefit design used in the valuation should be as set out in current regulations.

Each administering authority would then, with their Actuary, consider how they approach (and reflect in their FSS) the risk around this matter in the same way as they would for other financial, employer and demographic risks.

Once the outcome of McCloud is known and appropriate benefit changes are made administering authorities would, if they deem appropriate, re-visit employer contributions under such guidance or provision in regulation as may be available at that time.

A consistent approach to delaying or method of estimating exit credits and payments

B) To have no central guidance and instead leave it to each administering authority to determine their own approach to their valuation (including any potential cost from McCloud or cost cap) taking advice from their actuarial adviser.

APEs response was submitted in favour of option A as we believe consistency across the scheme is preferable. However, the scheme is preferable.

APFs response was submitted in favour of option A as we believe consistency across the scheme is preferable. However the guidance should not be too rigid or prescriptive, leaving funds with local discretion to have flexibility to apply within the framework of their valuation outcome. Therefore the guidance should be limited to principles and direction.

### **Previous Update:-**

SAB members were provided with a summary of the statement made by the Chief Secretary to the Treasury on the 6th September regarding the scheme valuations for the public service pension schemes, including the LGPS. Unfunded schemes,

A reduction in the discount rate that will significantly increase employer contributions.

the 2% cost cap floor breached leading to member benefits improvements.

Funded Scheme LGPS

Cost cap floor breeched but discount rate does not directly impact employer rates.

LGPS, employer rates are set by local fund valuations (next in 2019) but the cost cap mechanism does potentially impact as will lead to improved member benefits.

SAB has its own cost management which will allow any agreed changes to benefits to be taken into account before the HM Treasury process begins.

As advised by the SAB's actuarial adviser, the total cost of the scheme (employer and employee) under the SAB's process is 19% against a target total scheme cost of 19.5%.

SAB agreed to delegate to the Chair and a representative from both the employers and employees' sides, assisted by a small technical group, responsibility for agreeing a package of benefit changes to return the scheme to its total target cost of 19.5% while also looking at employee contributions at the lower end. The resultant package will be put to the full SAB for agreement as soon as possible to ensure that scheme changes are on the statute book by April 2019.

SAB was also advised that discussions are underway to move local fund valuations to a quadrennial timeframe to ensure consistency with future scheme valuations. This will not, however, have any bearing on the 2019 valuation which will proceed as normal.

Good Governance in the LGPS (Previously Identifying the potential benefits of further increasing the level of separation between the host authority and scheme manager role.)

#### Latest Update:-

At the SAB Meeting in November the Board were advised that two working groups had been established to develop proposals for new standards of governance and administration and how these can be measured and assessed independently. As a result, a draft Phase II report was made available in time for it to be considered by the Board.

The Board considered the draft Phase II report into the findings of both working groups and agreed that it should be published with comments invited from scheme stakeholders, see link to report which was published 15 Nov 2019 here.

The Board also considered and approved the recommendation that the Secretariat should proceed with Phase III of the project including working up a set of key performance indicators and drafting instructions for revised statutory guidance on governance compliance statements. Proposals will be considered by the Board when it next meets on the 3rd February 2020.

#### **Previous Updates:-**

On 8 August 2019 The Scheme Advisory Board invited the Hymans Robertson project team to assist the Secretariat in taking forward the next stage of the good governance project. Two working groups will be established, one to focus on defining good governance outcomes and the guidance needed to clearly set them out and the other to focus on options for the independent assessment of outcomes and mechanisms to improve the delivery of those outcomes. Both groups will comprise a wide range of scheme stakeholders to ensure a full range of views and options are considered.

At the SAB Meeting in July the project team at Hymans Robertson presented the final draft report to the Board and the final report was subsequently published on 31 July 2019, see link <a href="here">here</a>. The Board agreed that the Secretariat should, in conjunction with the project team and scheme stakeholders, work to develop a detailed plan to present to the Board in November to implement the report's findings and conclusions. Scheme stakeholders will be given the opportunity to comment on the Board's recommended implementation plan before any formal approach is made to MHCLG Ministers for changes to the scheme's regulations or guidance.

## Update from SAB Meeting 8 Apr 2019:-

The project team at Hymans Robertson updated the Board on progress to date and next steps. Members were advised that Hymans had approached 15 individuals across the scheme to identify relevant issues concerning administration and governance of the scheme as a means to ensure that future stages of the project, including an online questionnaire, are focussed on relevant and topical issues. The UNISON representative expressed concern that none of the options listed in the paper allowed for wholly new bodies, within the local government legal framework, to be recommended. The Board agreed that Option 4 in the paper should be re-drafted to accommodate for this outcome. Otherwise the Board agreed that Hymans can proceed.

On 17 April 2019 Hymans Robertson launched the Good Governance Project Survey to capture as many views as possible from those working within the LGPS with a closing date for submissions of 31 May. The findings will form the basis for a report that will be submitted to the SAB in July. APF officers have completed the survey.

#### Previous Update from SAB Meeting 16 Jan 2019:-

The Board was advised that on the 29th November that the project had been awarded to Hymans Robertson. However, concerns were subsequently raised about how Hymans Robertson would manage the potential conflict of interest given their position as clients to a number of LGPS administering authorities and the potential for recommendations to lead to paid work advising on TUPE transfers. At the Board's request, Hymans Robertson prepared a statement explaining how they would manage any conflict of interest given their position as clients to a number of LGPS administering authorities and the potential for recommendations to lead to paid work advising on TUPE transfers, which was subsequently accepted by the Chair and Vice Chair on the Board's behalf.

The Board also agreed that the project should be re-named from the previous 'Separation Project' as this name had given rise to unfounded fears that options around removing the scheme from Local Authority control were being considered. It was agreed that "Good Governance in the LGPS" better reflected the aims and ambitions of the project to enhance the delivery of the function within local authority structures.

This work will begin immediately and Hymans Robertson will be in touch with administering authorities with details of the project, including information on how to complete a questionnaire and further engagement plans.

### **Previous Update:-**

The separation project was put on hold while pooling was in its initial stages however a request has been made to reinstate the project. The objective would be identify both the issues deriving from the current scheme administrative arrangements and the potential benefits of further increasing the level of separation between host authority and the scheme manager role.

## Discussed and agreed at SAB meeting 10 Oct 2018

- 3 bids received to undertake the project
- SAB members invited to comment on the bids
- SAB Chair and Vice-Chair given delegated authority to make final decision

## Review of Academies

## **Previous Updates**

#### SAB Meeting 16 Jan 2019:-

The Board was advised that the work of the academies administration working group had been put on hold due to competing priorities, in particular, work on the Board's cost cap arrangement. Work on this project will now be resumed as a matter of urgency.

#### **Previous Update:-**

Ministers agreed that DFE, MHCLG, GAD and the Board should continue to work closely together to pursue solutions, engaging key stakeholders including pension funds, actuarial firms and academy trusts as appropriate.

Two working groups have been set up Administration and Funding

#### Administration

This group has focussed on 4 key areas :-

- ☐ More consistency in pensions administration
- ☐ More effective communication
- $\hfill\square$  Improved training at local, regional and national level, and
- ☐ Clarifying the duties and responsibilities of stakeholders

### **Funding**

The funding working group is exploring proposals to standardise conversion methodologies, move to single future service rates within each LGPS fund and to better enable MATS to consolidate their schools in one LGPS fund.

An option to achieve these objectives from the group was to be discussed at the meeting of the DFE academy working group on 20th June.

GAD issued its report: Academies LGPS pension arrangements on 14 Sept 2018 which can be found here.

On average academies currently pay 2% of payroll less in contributions than local authorities (LAs) - 21% versus 23%, respectively - despite being 11% worse funded on average (73% versus 84%, respectively).

No recommendations but suggest that DfE and MHCLG consider what changes to academy pension arrangements within the LGPS might be appropriate in order to meet policy objectives. It should be noted that, if changes to the current arrangements are not made, we would expect material volatility in academy contribution rates (against local authority rates and other academies) to persist.

## Discussed/agreed at SAB meeting 10 Oct 2018

- The Board agreed that the administration working groups work on agreeing a standard monthly data extract should continue to completion
- Further work will also be undertaken on training and improving communication within the academy sector
- The future programme of the funding working group is to be the subject of discussion with DfE and MHCLG

A link to full information on the review of academies is available on the SAB website here.

## **Tier 3 Employers**

## **Previous Updates**

## SAB Meeting 16 Jan 2019:-

The Board was advised that the work of the third tier employers' project working group had been put on hold due to competing priorities, in particular, work on the Board's cost cap arrangement. Work on this project will now be resumed as a matter of urgency.

## **Previous Update:-**

Covers those Fund employers with no tax raising powers or guarantee. SAB is keen to identify the risk to LGPS Funds of default by such employers.

There are currently two concurrent phases of work involved – Collating data and identification of issues.

SAB tier 3 employer project carried out by Aon Hewitt- extension of surveys deadline to 31 January 2018 APF have

completed survey

SAB will then assess the risks to Funds and consider next steps.

## Discussed / agreed at SAB meeting 10 Oct 2018

- Aon's report was published on the 24<sup>th</sup> September
- A working group from the Board will evaluation the various options included in the report and report back to the Board

A link to full information on Tier 3 Employers is available on the SAB website here.

## Code of Transparency -Compliance System

#### Latest Update:-

At the SAB Meeting in November, the Board was advised that Byhiras had been successful in the exercise to procure an entity to ensure compliance with the Code by asset managers. The system being developed would enable asset owners to compare their own data against the average at asset class and cost type level. A programme of meetings with stakeholders in conjunction with initial testing has begun, with further engagement planned over the coming months.

## **Previous Updates:-**

On 1 Sept 2019 the board announced that it has appointed <u>Byhiras</u> to develop and host a system designed to enable managers to evidence compliance with its Code of Transparency and provide a range of reporting and comparison tools for LGPS funds and pool companies. Once live in Q1 2020 the system will;

- 1. Enable managers to evidence compliance with the Code via a single online portal
- 2. Enable the uploading of template data in LGPS, CTI, CTI machine readable and ILPA formats
- 3. Provide LGPS clients with a range of reporting and comparison tools
- 4. Link to new CIPFA reporting formats
- 5. Provide facilities for LGPS pool companies as both receiver and provider of templates

The Board has produced a briefing note covering the code and the compliance system which can be downloaded <a href="https://example.com/here">here</a>.

The note provides the background to the code, the procurement of a compliance system, and answers FAQ from the points of view of both the Investment Managers and LGPS clients.

On 21 May 2019 Liam Robson emailed administering authorities to confirm that a framework of templates and guidance had been published by the Cost Transparency Initiative (CTI). This is as an important step in achieving clarity in cost and

value for asset owners and pension scheme members. The templates and guidance have been adopted as an integral part of the SABs Code of Transparency. SAB are eager to work with existing and new signatories in ensuring the new framework is a success and welcome feedback from managers as they implement or transition to the new templates.

**SAB Meeting 8 Apr 2019:-** The Board was advised that a technical breach meant that the procurement project had to be cancelled and started afresh.

**SAB Meeting 16 Jan 2019:-** The Board was advised that the deadline for bids for the Compliance contract was the 22nd January and that to date, four bids had been received. Once the procurement process has been completed, recommendations will be made by the bidding panel for the Board's consideration.

The Board also agreed that the Northern Ireland LGPS should be added to the Code of Transparency.

### **Previous Update:-**

The Board has committed to securing a third party checking service

#### Discussed at SAB meeting 10 Oct 2018

- 91 Signatories have signed up to the code covering £180bn of scheme assets
- OJEU contract notice has been published for the procurement of a code compliance utility

A link to full information on Code of Transparency is available on the SAB website here.

## **Cross Pooling**

Latest Update:-

At the SAB Meeting in November, the Chair reported that a meeting had been held with the Chairs of the pool companies and that a further meeting with the Chairs of the various joint committees were planned. Board members discussed engagement with LGPS pools and agreed that this was an important area of work considering the differing roles, relationships and transition oversight. The Board also agreed that the Chair should write to the three asset pools asking for their reasons why membership of their governance arrangement has not been extended to include scheme member representatives.

#### **Previous Updates:-**

**In May 2019**, at the PLSA Local Authority Conference, Rishi Sunak the Minister for local government pensions announced that a formal consultation on pooling guidance would be published in the forthcoming months.

From SAB Meeting 8 Apr 2019:- The MHCLG representative confirmed to the Board that 93 responses had been received in response to the consultation and that many of these were very detailed and would need very careful

consideration. APFs response was submitted on 25 March, a copy of the final response is attached to Item 9 as Appendix 2.

**From SAB Meeting 16 Jan 2019:-** In January 2019 MHCLG prepared new statutory guidance on LGPS asset pooling. This will set out the requirements on administering authorities, replacing previous guidance, and builds on previous Ministerial communications and guidance on investment strategies. MHCLG are now inviting views on the draft guidance, see link to consultation <a href="https://example.com/here.com/

The MHCLG representative confirmed to the Board that the consultation was not a public consultation and has been sent to interested parties (administering authorities, local boards, the SAB and pool companies) for informal comment. Closing date for comments is the 28th March. The Board agreed that member's comments should be sent to the Secretariat who would then draft a composite response to be considered and agreed by the Chair. This would not prevent individual organisations represented on the Board from responding directly to MHCLG provided that it was made clear that it does not represent the views of the Board. Administering authorities are welcome to share the draft guidance with advisors and incorporate their views within the authority's response.

## **Previous Update:-**

In February 2018 SAB approved an elected member led Cross Pool Forum comprising three representatives from each of the eight pools and three trade union representatives to share and disseminate information on the pooling of LGPS assets and steps are being be taken to establish this

As a result of the responses to the consultation on 27th March 2018 the Chairs of LGPS pension committees and local pension boards attended an open session where representatives from the eight asset pools reported on their progress in establishing their organisational structures and governance arrangements. The slides from this session are available <a href="https://example.com/here.com/

# Responsible Investment

#### **Latest Update:-**

At the SAB Meeting in November, the Board was advised that the committee had considered and approved the draft of Part I of the responsible investment guidance that aims to set out the parameters within which investment decision makers in the LGPS can operate under scheme regulations, statutory guidance, the general public law and their fiduciary duty. The Board approved the committee's recommendation and agreed that the draft guidance should be published for consultation until the end of the year.

The Board also approved the committee's recommendation that the Secretariat should commence work on Part II of the guidance the aim of which is to offer practical help to investment decision makers who want to increase the integration of ESG policies in their investment strategies.

### **Previous Updates:-**

#### SAB Meeting 8 Apr 2019:-

The Board agreed with the recommendation from the Investment, Governance and Engagement committee ("Investment Committee") that the guidance should be web based rather than published in hard copy. A web based project will allow greater flexibility when updating and will also cater for linking with other related work and projects. The Board also agreed the recommendation from the Investment committee that a paper to be submitted by UNISON based on the report they commissioned from ShareAction on ESG policies, in particular, on climate change risk, should be considered by the Board at a future date.

## SAB Meeting 16 Jan 2019:-

The Board agreed with the recommendation from the Investment, Governance and Engagement committee ("Investment Committee") that the draft guidance should be extended to include a checklist enabling administering authorities to measure whether their ESG policy, including climate change risk, represents the minimum to comply with the law, good practice or best practice. Work would also be undertaken in conjunction with scheme stakeholders to assist administering authorities in developing a specific policy, if they wish, on climate change risk.

## SAB Meeting 10 Oct 2018:-

- SAB agreed that the guidance on Responsible Investment should include reference to the government's latest position on Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) and, in particular, climate risk.
- SAB was advised that Share Action may be approaching LGPS funds to discuss their approach to ESG policies.

## MINISTRY FOR HOUSING COMMUNITIES & LOCAL GOVERNMENT [MHCLG]

\*\*New Item \*\*
SF3 Data Published

On 16 October 2019 MHCLG published LGPS funds for England and Wales: 2018 to 2019 (SF3) statistics, see link here.

Highlights include:

• Total LGPS expenditure in 2018-19 was £12.7 billion. Removing the effect of mergers and large transfers in 2017-

	18 there was a like-for-like increase of £0.7 billion or 5.7% on 2017-18.
	<ul> <li>Total LGPS income in 2018-19 was £15.1 billion. Removing the effect of mergers and large transfers in 2017-18</li> </ul>
	and 2018-19, there was a like-for-like decrease of £2.3 billion or 13.2% on 2017-18. This is mainly due to an
	increase in employers' contributions in 2017-18.
	<ul> <li>Employers' contributions to LGPS in 2018-19 amounted to £7.1 billion, down 24.7% on 2017-18, and employees'</li> </ul>
	contributions to the scheme were £2.2 billion. The decrease in employers' contributions is largely due to some
	large upfront pension contribution payments made in 2017-18 following the triennial valuation.
	<ul> <li>The market value of the LGPS funds at the end of March 2019 was £287.2 billion, an increase of £16.3 billion or 6.0%.</li> </ul>
	The LGPS encompassed 5.9 million people at the end of March 2019. Of this number, 2.0 million are employees
	who are still contributing to the scheme, 1.7 million are pensioners and 2.2 million are former employees who are entitled to a pension at some time in the future.
	There were 83,508 retirements from the LGPS in 2018-19, an increase of 7,554 or 9.9% compared with 2017-18.
	MHCLG welcomes comments on any issues relating to this statistical release. Please send any comments to
	sf3.statistics@communities.gov.uk.
Simplification	Previous Update Sept 2019:-
Project	MHCLG have identified a need to make the Scheme regulations more adaptable, flexible and easy to administer, whilst
	maintaining a degree of consistency of approach across administering authorities. This project is called the 'Simplification
	project'.
	The Simplification project is supported by a working group made up of representatives from trade unions, actuarial
	services, MHCLG, SAB, LGA and where necessary scheme employers, payroll software providers, administering
	authorities and software providers.
	The terms of reference were agreed at the first meeting held on 8 May 2019. Also at that meeting the group considered a
	couple of administrative areas that may be improved / simplified. Should these considerations proceed further, a wider
	consultation will occur. These were:
	(a) application of assumed pensionable pay, and
	(b) employee and employer contributions during the 1st 30 days of an authorised absence)
MHCLG Consultation	Previous Updates:-
on LGPS Local	On 12 July 2019 APF submitted their response to this consultation, a copy of which is attached to Item 9 as Appendix 2.
Valuation Cycle and	The LGA also submitted a response which can be found here. MHCLG received around 280 responses and expect to
the Management of	publish their response in the autumn of 2019.

## **Employer Risk**

## An update from the Scheme Advisory Board following their meeting in July is as follows:-

On the proposal to move local fund valuations in the scheme to a four yearly valuation cycle in line with valuations undertaken by unfunded public service pension schemes and all scheme valuations. The next round of scheme valuations will be undertaken in 2020 and 2024 which means that there would be a potential 5 years between the current 2019 LGPS local valuations and the first of the four year period valuations. The Board agreed that five years without local valuations would not be the best way forward and that despite the administrative complexities of the alternative of an interim full set of valuations after 3 years, that is, in 2022 followed by another set in 2024, this was marginally the better of the two options. The Board also agreed that the response should record some concern about allowing administering authorities too much flexibility in exercising the proposed facility to hold an interim valuation. The Board took the view that the regulations and guidance must be clear that the circumstances in which the interim valuation power is to be used must be fully set out in an authority's Funding Strategy Statement.

On exit payments, the Board was advised that the proposals included a new concept of "deferred employer" that would allow employers to continue to be recognised as such despite having no active members and having exited the scheme. The Board agreed the draft response on exit payments and noted that supplementary guidance would help to provide a robust framework to govern the exercise of the proposed power.

On exit credits, the Board was advised that the draft response included representations to close an unintended loophole whereby administering authorities were liable to pay exit credits at the end of a contract even though steps had been taken by the employer to remove any risk from the contractor. The draft response agreed by the Board proposes that the amending regulations should include a provision requiring fund actuaries to take any side agreement into consideration when assessing exit credit payments.

On the proposal in the consultation to change the status of HE/FE bodies from scheduled to designated bodies, although a view was expressed that the proposed response was too negative regarding the potential impact on the scheme; the substantive view taken was that that this part of the consultation should be deferred until the outcome of the Third Tier Employer's project is concluded and that such a delay was necessary to properly assess the impact of the proposed changes on scheme membership and cash flow positions. The Board agreed that the Board Secretary should re-draft the relevant section of the consultation response to reflect the different views expressed by Board members.

## **Previous Update:-**

**On 8 May 2019** MHCLG launched a 12 week consultation on policy proposals to amend the rules of the Local Government Pension Scheme 2013 in England and Wales, see link <a href="here.">here.</a> It covers the following areas:

- 1. Amendments to the local fund valuations from the current three year (triennial) to a four-year (quadrennial) cycle
- 2. A number of measures aimed at mitigating the risks of moving from triennial to quadrennial cycles
- 3. Proposals for flexibility on exit payments
- 4. Proposals for further policy changes to exit credits
- 5. Proposals for policy changes to employers required to offer LGPS membership

APF are current in the process of drafting a response to this consultation.

## MHCLG Consultation on Fair Deal

#### **Previous Updates:-**

The Board was advised that discussions are continuing with MHCLG, in particular, on what the default position should be if negotiations between employer and contractor fail to reach agreement. The Board agreed that the "deemed employer" option should be the default position given that it would give employers, contractors and scheme members complete clarity about the position should no agreement be reached on whether the "deemed employer" or "admitted body" should apply. The Board agreed the draft response.

## **Previous Update:-**

APF submitted their response to this consultation on 2 April 2019 a copy of which is attached to Item 9 as Appendix 3.

At their meeting on 8 March the LGPS Technical group agreed on their response which can be found as an appendix to their March 2019 minutes here.

The LGPC also submitted a response which can be found <a href="here.">here.</a>

MHCLG have since reported the following on the response to Fair Deal consultation:-

- Total responses received was 79, 35 from LG funds & 9 from scheme employers (+ 7 from outsourced contractors)

Disappointing return from scheme employers of which there are now circa 15,000. MHCLG are in the process of analysing all responses & will work with SAB on provision of Guidance. It is hoped that guidance will be published by end of 2019 even if this is ahead of the required change in regulations.

## **Previous Update:-**

On 10 January 2019 MHCLG launched a policy consultation and draft regulations on 'Fair Deal – strengthening pension protection' in the LGPS. The consultation contains proposals to strengthen the pension protections that apply when an employee of a LGPS employer is compulsorily transferred to the employment of a service provider. The proposed

amendments to the LGPS Regulations 2013 would, in most cases, give transferred staff a continued right to membership of the LGPS. These changes are intended to bring the LGPS in line with the government's October 2013 Fair Deal guidance that applies in relation to transfers from central government. The consultation closes on 4 April 2019.

The LGPC will be responding to the consultation in due course. In addition, the national LGPS Technical Group have created a sub-group to review the impact of the consultation and to make recommendations for response. The sub-group will be working closely with the LGA and MHCLG.

Avon Pension Fund will be responding to the consultation and circulating details of the consultation to employers for them to respond also.

## **HM TREASURY [HMT]**

Indexation and equalisation of GMP in public service pension schemes

**Previous Update September 2019:-** The GMP Equalisation Working Group, launched by the Pensions Administration Standards Association (PASA), has published guidance outlining methods that schemes could use to equalise for the sex-based inequalities of GMPs. The guidance, which follows a "call to action" launched by the PASA in July, also suggests how schemes should deal with common issues that may arise when implementing an equalisation project. See link here.

#### **Previous Update:-**

The DWP have published guidance on how the GMP conversion legislation might be used to achieve equalisation, see link <u>here.</u> Whilst this guidance does not apply to public sector pension schemes, MHCLG and HM Treasury may decide on future changes that would affect the LGPS and this guidance provides information on the possible routes that could be taken.

HMRC announced that it is forming a working group with industry representatives to consider pension tax issues relating to GMP equalisation.

#### **Previous Update:-**

On 4 December, HMT issued an updated direction (dated 3 December 2018) under Section 59A of the Social Security Pensions Act 1975 which replaced the direction issued on 6 April 2016 and is backdated to that same date. The direction continues existing indexation provisions and, as a result of HMT's 2017 consultation on GMP indexation and equalisation, extends the arrangements to some additional groups of pensioners and provides for the payment of increases to survivors whose SPa is after 5 April 2021.

## **Previous Update:-**

APF responded to consultation in Feb 2017

On 22 January 2018, HMT published its response to the consultation.

The government has been implementing an "interim solution" between 6 April 2016 and 5 December 2018. The consultation directs that this solution will be extended for a further two years and four months. This will cover those members of public service schemes with a GMP who reach state Pension Age on or after 6 December 2018 and before 6 April 2021.

During this period, the government will investigate the possibility of an alternative long-term methodology, known as "conversion".

Government Actuary has issued an addendum to the guidance for Transfer and Divorce calculations

HMRC to set up working group in 2019

## Public Sector Exit Payments Cap

#### **Latest Update:-**

HMT still intend to bring forward regulations on the 95k Cap but there has been no confirmation when this will be. April 2020 is a possibility if the government publishes its response to the earlier consultation in the New Year.

#### **Previous Updates:-**

On 28 June 2019 APF submitted their response to this consultation, a copy of which is attached to Item 9 as Appendix 3, along with Annex 1 which contains analysis APF carried out on the profile of members that would have been affected by the cap based on cases processed over the last 3 years.

A response was also submitted by the SAB, which can be found <a href="here">here</a>, and by the LGA, which can be found <a href="here">here</a>.

HMT received approximately 600 responses, and it is likely they will publish their response in the autumn of 2019. We understand that HMT are to introduce the cap no sooner than 1 April 2020.

On 10 April 2019 HM Treasury launched a consultation called 'Restricting exit payments in the public sector: consultation on implementation of the regulations', see link <a href="here">here</a>. This is a 12-week consultation closing on 3 July 2019 and APF are currently drafting a response.

The LGPC have produced a briefing note which ties together the contents of the consultation documents. The briefing

note and the consultation documents can be found here. The key points in the latest consultation are as follows:-

- No change from the earlier proposal that the maximum exit payment will be £95,000.
- The cap will apply to a wide range of public sector employers, including employees of councils in England and Wales, fire authorities, police forces, academies and maintained schools.
- The £95,000 cap will include the value of any early retirement strain payments, and it is envisaged that the ability to take an unreduced early retirement pension will therefore be severely restricted in some cases.
- Certain employers in the LGPS e.g. Universities and Colleges appear not to be covered which will means members would be treated differently within the LGPS depending on their employer on exit.
- As previously indicated, there will be provisions for the cap to be waived in some circumstances. However, the tone of the consultation makes clear that any waiver is expected to be the exception rather than the norm.

There will be some details to be ironed out in relation to the LGPS in England and Wales. We expect the MHCLG will run a separate consultation, and which will cover amongst other things the agreement and implementation of a common costing methodology and factors for strain payments.

HMT welcomes responses to the consultation from employers, employees and their representatives, HR, payroll and pension experts, and anyone else who might be impacted by the proposals.

Introducing a cap on exit payments will have significant implications for employers as well as for administering authorities. APF have communicated details of the consultation to fund employers to ensure that they also have an opportunity to respond.

It is expected that MHCLG will run a separate consultation in relation to the LGPS in England and Wales, which will cover amongst other things the agreement and implementation of a common costing methodology.

#### **Previous Update:-**

The Bill was initially expected to have its second reading debate on Friday 25 Jan 2019, however, it now shows that the date for the second reading is to be announced.

A link to the latest updates on this bill can be found here.

## **Previous Update:-**

Further clarification on the claw back for re-employment in first year and setting the exit cap at £95k are still awaited Work is going on behind the scenes and subject to Parliamentary time these could be issued by the end of the year

	The third more broader proposals in the third consultation no immediate development on these has been reported, see link to consultation <a href="https://example.com/here">here</a> .  A Private Member's Bill the Public Sector Exit Payments (Limitations) Bill 2017 was introduced in Sept 2017 and its further rescheduled second reading is set for 26 OCT 2018; to date no details have been made available.  Link to the Enterprise Act can be found <a href="https://example.com/here">here</a> .		
	THE PENSION REGULATOR (TPR)		
**New Item** 2019 Governance and Administration Survey	On 5 November 2019 APF published their response to the annual TPR Governance & Administration Survey, see link <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/journal.com/">here.</a>		
**New Item** TPR Publishes Regulatory Intervention Report	On 25 October 2019 TPR published a regulatory intervention report outlining how it worked with the London Borough of Barnet Pension Fund's scheme manager to improve the fund's governance and administration standards, see link <a href="here">here</a> .		
**New Item** TPR Initiative to Improve Data Quality	On 2 October 2019 the Pensions Regulator announced a crackdown on poor record keeping, see link <a href="here">here</a> . TPR is in the process of contacting 400 schemes that it believes have not reviewed their data in the past three years. TPR will ask those schemes to conduct a data review within six months. We understand that some LGPS administering authorities are included in that group and that TPR planned to contact those affected by 25 October. TPR will contact a further 1,200 schemes to remind them to carry out data reviews of common and scheme-specific data every year.		
**New Item** TPR Governance and Administration Risks in PSPS Report	On 19 September 2019 TPR published its report into the governance and administration risks in public service pensions, see link <a href="https://example.com/here">here</a> . The report follows on from their engagement with 10 UK local government funds, between October 2018 and July 2019, to understand scheme managers' approaches to a number of key risks. The report summarises the key findings against the Regulator's Code of Practice 14 both in terms of exceeding and falling short of required standards and will be discussed in detail at the next SAB meeting on 6th November 2019.		
TPR Codes of	Previous Update Sept 2019:-		

Practice	The Pensions Regulator (TPR) has announced changes to existing codes of practice. The content of the 15 current codes of practice will be combined to form a single shorter code. The changes will reflect the Occupational Pension Schemes (Governance) (Amendment) Regulations 2018. Codes most affected by these regulations will be addressed first, and this includes Code of Practice 14 (public sector schemes). Schemes will need to demonstrate that they have an effective governance system within 12 months of the date the updated codes are published.
	ITEMS FROM OTHER SOURCES
**New Item** The Queen's Speech	The Queen's Speech, delivered on <b>14 October 2019</b> , confirmed that a new Pension Schemes Bill will be introduced, see link <a href="here">here</a> . According to the Pensions Schemes Bill and background briefing notes, the Bill will:
	strengthen TPR's powers
	provide a framework to support pensions dashboards and
	introduce regulations covering the right to a pension transfer.
**New Item** Recommendation for	On 10 October 2019 the Office of Tax Simplification published a report that includes recommendations to help tackle complex tax issues that affect UK tax payers. Their recommendations relating to pension taxation are to:
Reforms to the Taxation System	Review the annual and lifetime allowances and how they deliver policy objectives, taking account of the 'distortions' they sometimes produce.
	Review the operation of the Money Purchase annual allowance and consider whether it is set at the correct level.
	Improve the information about tax provided to a person when they first start to receive the State Pension.
**New Item** Public Sector Bodies (Website and Mobile	On 23 September 2018, The Public Sector Bodies (Website and Mobile Applications) (No 2) Accessibility Regulations 2018 came into force. The regulations state that public sector websites and mobile apps must meet the international WCAG 2.1 AA accessibility standard.
Applications) (No 2) Accessibility Regulations 2018	A new public sector website set up on or after 23 September 2018 must meet the accessibility standards by 23 September 2019. An accessibility statement must be published by the same date.
	Existing websites that were published before 23 September 2018 must comply with the regulations by 23 September 2020. However, if new features are introduced to an existing website or if substantial changes are made, these new areas

	will need to be fully accessible from 23 September 2019.
	Mobile apps must meet the accessibility requirements by 23 June 2021.
	Further information about the accessibility requirements is available on the gov.uk website.
**New Item** September 2019 rate of CPI	On 16 October 2019 the Office for National Statistics announced that the Consumer Prices Index (CPI) rate of inflation for September 2019 was 1.7%. Government policy in recent years has been to base both pensions increase and revaluation of LGPS pension accounts on the rate of CPI for September of the previous year. We await confirmation from the Government that the revaluation of pension accounts and the pensions increase to apply to deferred LGPS pensions and LGPS pensions in payment in April 2019 will be 1.7%.
**New Item** Consultation on Changes in RPI Methodology	Chancellor of the Exchequer Sajid Javid has announced that the Government intends to consult on whether to align the RPI with the housing cost-based version of the CPI, known as CPIH. The consultation on the proposed changes will open in January 2020, and will ask whether the change should be made before 2030. A change in RPI would affect the revaluation (while the member is active, deferred or the pension is in payment) of extra pension bought under an ARC contract that started between 1 April 2008 and 31 March 2012.
**New Item** TPO Corporate Plan	On 2 Oct 2019 The Pensions Ombudsman published its Corporate Plan for 2019-2022, see link <a href="here">here</a> . The plan sets out TPO's strategic aims for the next three years and key priorities for 2019-20.
Shareholder Rights Directive II	<b>Latest Update:- At the SAB Meeting in November</b> , the Board was advised that the Financial Reporting Council has published the new stewardship code that will come into effect in the New Year. Bob Holloway explained that the FRC will be taking a more proactive approach in checking activity under the new code. Existing signatories to the Code will need to re-apply under the new code. <b>Previous Update:-</b>
	On 11 September 2019 the DWP published an explanation of the requirements established by Shareholder Rights Directive II (SRD II) relating to workplace pension scheme stewardship and governance, which will be adopted into UK law from 1 October 2019. Please see a link to the factsheet <a href="here">here</a> , which confirms the actions DB schemes must take and by when. APF already comply with the actions required by the 1 October 2019 deadline as this is already in the guidance for our Investment Strategy Statement (ISS). We also comply with most of the rest but will be updating the ISS after our investment review and at that point will incorporate this directive. We understand that SAB/MHCLG guidance will follow on this and will increase requirements for LGPS but more in terms of fuller disclosure.
CMA Order	Latest Update:-

At the SAB Meeting in November, the Board was advised that new advice has been posted on the Board's website. In summary, the scheme would not be affected by remedy 1 of the Order (procurement of fiduciary managers) but will need to comply with remedy 7, setting strategic objectives for external consultants. This will need to be in place by the 10th December 2019 but the Board was advised that MHCLG will not be in a position to make necessary amendments to scheme provisions until after that date. Administering authorities are therefore being advised to anticipate the new requirement and have their strategic objectives in place before the deadline of the 10th December.

## **Previous Update:-**

On 10 June 2019 the Competition and Market's Authority (CMA) published The Investment and Fiduciary Management Market Investigation Order 2019. The Order defines fiduciary management services and potentially has implications for LGPS pools. The SAB has produced a briefing note to raise awareness of the content of the Order, link here. The note states that 'It is understood that MHCLG may come forward with some provisions for LGPS authorities but that these would only include the obligations under Part 7 (Investment Consultancy Services)'.

On 29 July 2019 DWP launched a consultation which seeks views on the draft Occupational Pension Schemes (Governance and Registration) (Amendment) Regulations 2019. The draft regulations enact the provisions of the CMA Order on the obligations of Schemes with regard to FM and IC services. Chapter 2 of the consultation document covers scope and explicitly rules out coverage of the LGPS. This short consultation closed on 2 September 2019.

The CMA made recommendations in its final report for TPR to produce guidance to support trustees in complying with the new duties. TPR have issued a consultation containing the guidance to support these recommendations. The consultation closed on 11 September 2019.

## **Equitable Life**

#### Latest Update:-

Following a report carried out by Mercer analysing the merit of the Equitable closure proposal for our members which concluded that in their opinion it would be appropriate to vote in favour of Equitable's proposals, APF voted in favour of the approval of the scheme and in favour of the change to the articles to make Utmost Life and Pensions the sole Member of Equitable Life.

Equitable Life have reported on their website that the proposals on the Scheme and the Change to the Articles were both passed by an overwhelming majority. The next steps are:

- The Equitable will now ask the High Court to approve the Scheme and the Transfer at the Second Court Hearing starting on 22 November 2019.
- If the High Court gives that approval, then they expect the Proposal to be implemented with effect from 1 January

2020. The Uplift will be applied to any with-profits policies as soon as practicable after 1 January.

#### **Previous Update Sept 2019:-**

Equitable Life announced that they had entered into an agreement to transfer the Society and all its policies to Utmost Life and Pensions (formerly known as Reliance Life), with the transfer taking place during the latter part of 2019. As part of the transfer deal, the Equitable Life With-Profits Fund will close and will be disinvested, initially into a deposit fund, but then into unit linked funds. Details of the Proposal can be found on Equitable Life's website.

Equitable Life has recently written to all administering authorities affected by the Proposal. Administering authorities as 'Scheme Policy Holders' and 'Eligible Members' are being asked to vote to:

- approve the 'Scheme'
- 'Change the Articles' to make Utmost Life and Pensions the sole Member of Equitable.

#### The 'Scheme':

- increases with-profits investments with an immediate one-off 'Uplift'
- removes any investment guarantees, and
- converts with-profits policies to unit-linked investments.

Administering authorities are also able to object to the transfer of Equitable Life's business to Utmost Life and Pensions (which does not require a vote but does need the approval of the High Court). The deadline for the receipt of postal and online votes is 10am on 30 October 2019.

In an email to administering authorities on 28 August 2019, Lorraine Bennett confirmed that the LGA is considering obtaining legal advice on the potential risk to administering authorities of future challenge associated with the above, but before doing wanted to gauge how many administering authorities are affected.

## Discretionary Policies

## **Latest Update:-**

APF are currently in the process of reviewing their discretionary policies and will present a paper to Committee at it's March meeting for approval.

APF are in the process of purchasing a tool from JLT to assist employers when developing their discretionary policies and will carry out an exercise, when the tool is available, to support employers in reviewing and updating their discretions to incorporate the amendments below.

#### Action

#### **Previous Update:-**

The Secretariat has published revised versions of the Discretionary policy list and guide (versions 1.7 and 1.9 respectively). Clean and tracked changes versions, can be found in the guides and sample document pages of <a href="https://www.lgpsregs.org">www.lgpsregs.org</a>.

## Action for administering authorities and scheme employers

The documents have very minor changes though will require an amendment to both scheme employers' and administering authorities' mandatory discretionary policies:

- whether to grant the application for early payment of deferred benefits (in respect of a member who left active membership before 1 April 1998) on compassionate grounds - application may now be granted by the administering authority where the former employer no longer exists.
- where a member who opted out of the scheme continues to be employed by a Scheme employer, the member is only entitled to receive their benefits at NRD if their employer consents to them doing so (in respect of a member who opted out of the scheme after 31 March 1998 and before 1 April 2008).

## Requirement to Pay Refund Under 2013 Regulations

#### **Previous Update Sept 2019:-**

As reported previously, the National Technical Group made a recommendation to the SAB to remove the requirement to pay a refund of contributions within five years under the 2013 regulations. The SAB have agreed to proceed with this change and are in the process of making recommendations to MHCLG.

On 12 March 2019 the National Technical Group made a recommendation to SAB to change the regulations to reflect the position prior to 1 April 2014 (i.e. to remove the prescription that requires an administering authority to pay a refund on the expiry of a period of five years beginning with the date the person's active membership ceased if no request is made before then – regulation 18(5) of the LGPS Regulations 2013 [SI 2013/2356]). In making this recommendation the group acknowledged that interest would be added up to the date of payment, as opposed to on the expiry of 5 years.

## From National Technical Group Meeting - Dec 2018

Under the 2014 scheme a refund must be paid on the expiry of 5 years from the date of leaving or, if earlier, at age 75. If payment cannot be made before within this timeframe then this is classified as a breach and as such would need to be reported to pensions committee, Local Pension Board and included on the breaches register.

Additionally, the payment could not be treated as a Short Service Refund Lump Sum payment under section 166 and paragraph 5 of the Finance Act 2004 if the member:

- a) Had previously had a BCE in the Scheme, and/or,
- b) Holds deferred benefits in the Scheme, and/or,
- c) Has reached age 75

If any of the above circumstances have occurred, the payment would be an unauthorised payment, as such would need to be reported on the event report and the payment would be subject to both member tax charges and admin authority tax charges.

Under all previous regulations there is no time limit by when the refund must be paid and the Technical Group are considering whether the current regulations can be amended to match.

In the meantime, Technical Group have made a group policy recommendation on how to approach such cases and this is set out in the minutes available <u>here.</u>

# DWP: Pension's Dashboard

## **Previous Update:-**

The Money and Pensions Advice Service (MAPS) will lead the delivery of the initial phase of the pensions dashboards and will bring together a delivery group made up of stakeholders from across the industry, consumer groups, regulators and government. The delivery group will be accountable to the MAPS board, and MAPS are in turn accountable to the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP). Chris Curry was appointed as Principal of the Pensions Dashboard Industry Delivery Group and Angela Pober as its implementation Director. Chris Curry published his first blog on 25 July which is available in the MAPS website.

Previous Updates:- The LGPCs response to this consultation can be found here.

On **4 April 2019** the government published its response to the consultation, which can be found <u>here.</u>

Key details of the government's plans include:

- Legislation to compel pension providers to make consumers' data available on the dashboard
- Staged onboarding of schemes with the majority of schemes participating within 3 to 4 years
- The inclusion of state pension data
- A commitment to multiple dashboards, with a non-commercial dashboard being overseen by the Money and Pensions Service (MAPS).

The DWP advises the pensions industry to get ready, in the next three to four years, to submit data. The hope is that the dashboards project will move into a test phase beginning in 2019. Meanwhile, the DWP will need to find a legislative vehicle by which to compel recalcitrant pension schemes to feed data into the dashboards system. Compulsion will require primary legislation. Pensions Minister Guy Opperman has indicated his Department's intention to include a Pensions Bill in the next Queen's Speech.

The results of the feasibility study to explore the options for the delivery of online pensions dashboards were released in the form of a government consultation on 3 December 2018. The consultation was seeking views on how the government can best facilitate an industry-led delivery of pensions dashboards. The consultation closed on 28 January 2019. The consultation proposals included:

- a non-commercial dashboard be hosted by the Single Financial Guidance Body (SFGB) together with multiple commercial dashboards hosted by different organisations, in order to improve consumer choice and enable them to use the dashboard that most suits their needs.
- the establishment of a delivery group convened and stewarded by the SFGB, which would work towards the successful implementation of the technology that will allow pensions dashboards to operate.
- a single 'Pension Finder Service' (PFS) will act as a search engine to find pension schemes linked to an individual.
- state pension data will ultimately be part of the service.
- with the consent of the individual, pension schemes will be required in legislation to provide an individual's data via pensions dashboards.
- public service pension schemes be given longer lead-in times to prepare their data prior to on boarding. It is
  expected that the pensions industry will start to supply data to a dashboard, on a voluntary basis, from 2019. The
  majority of schemes will be on-boarded within 3 to 4 years from the first dashboards being available to the public.

## Previous Update:- Pensions dashboard - results of feasibility study delayed

In bulletin 167, it was reported that DWP were conducting a feasibility study to explore the options for delivering the dashboard and that this was due to be published at the end of March 2018.

The findings of this feasibility study have still to be published. Given it is now less than a year until the dashboard was originally due to launch (in April 2019), this would appear to make these timescales increasingly difficult to achieve.

There have been some mixed opinions raised as to whether this is still achievable but the Department has recently confirm that it remains a key objective

**Key:-** Any text highlighted in Grey was previously reported with latest updates indicated where applicable. Newly reported items are labelled \*\*New Item\*\*

Where action is required, this is indicated in first column where appropriate